1 2 3 4



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER OF DETENTION

Defendant.

I.

- A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
 - 1. () a crime of violence.
 - 2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
 - 3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years .
 - 4. () any felony where the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.
 - 5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C § 2250.
- B. (1) On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

- As to flight risk: Defendant proffered no bail resources, other than an A. (✓) unsecured appearance bond posted by himself. That is not viable here. Given the record in this matter, including Defendant's recent and serious conviction in another federal matter pending in Michigan and the allegations of the indictment in this action now (including the alleged amount of monetary loss), the Court is not convinced that Defendant's risk of non-appearance can be reasonably mitigated without, at a minimum, some sureties. Defendant's "personal choice" not to trouble his family and friends with his legal troubles is an unsatisfying explanation. In addition, Defendant's other background information – such as conducting a 15-year obesity study on himself funded by his "friends" and his spending habits during the period at issue – lends some pause about his credibility overall. Further, Defendant's ties to a foreign country, including foreign travel experience, are somewhat concerning. All things considered, at this juncture, Defendant poses an unmitigated flight risk.
- B. () As to danger:

VI.

- A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
 - 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
 - 2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

1	
2	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	VII.
11	
12	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
13	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the
14	custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
15	separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving
16	sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
17	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
18	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
19	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States
20	or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of
21	the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the
22	defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in
23	connection with a court proceeding.
24	
25	DATED: September 7, 2011 HONORARI E IAV C. GANDHI
26	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	
28	